

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/730,836	12/07/2000	Sang In Kim	8733.325.00	8708	
30827 75	590 01/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			DUONG,	DUONG, THOI V	
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER ·	
			2871	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/200	DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/730,836	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thoi V. Duong	2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>20 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
th p a tii	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
	The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be under 37 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
2. 🔲 T fil a	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). DMENTS
3. 🔲 7	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(0	d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
5.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the ion-allowable claim(s).
7. X F he T C C	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of cow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-6 and 8-20.
С	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. 🔲 TI be	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and vas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
e sl	the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a pood and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. 🔲 I	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The patent US 6,038,008 to Kim et al. and the instant application are claiming common subject as follows: when the organic passivation layer is irradiated with UV rays, the surface property of the organic passivation layer is changed to become a roughened buffer layer. Since the processes of the patent and the application are substantially identical, claimed properties are presumed to be inherent (see MPEP 2112). Therefore, the buffer layer inherently has a hydrophilic property and a thickness of 10 Angstrom to 50 Angstrom.

Andrew SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER